

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,854 07/15/2003		Michael A. Mitchell	29827/39446 7736		
4743	7590 03/16/2006	EXAMINER			
MARSHALI	L, GERSTEIN & BOR	BOGART, MICHAEL G			
233 S. WACK	ER DRIVE, SUITE 630	0			•
SEARS TOW	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, I			3761		

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/619,854		MITCHELL ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Michael G.		3761				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)[∑]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	July 2003						
· —		his action is no	n-final.					
,—	·—			secution as to the	e merits is			
٥,۵	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	•						
•		the annlication						
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-57 and 77-81</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		Sigoration.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected to.							
, —	Claim(s) <u>32-57 and 77-81</u> are subject to res	triction and/or	election requirement					
اکا(۵	Claim(s) <u>32-37 and 77-37</u> are subject to res	triotion and/or	sicolion roquiroment.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn	ection is require	d if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 32-36 and 79-81, drawn to an article made by a specific process, classified in class 156, subclass 1.
- II. Claims 37 and 77, drawn to a diaper, classified in class 604, subclass 358.
- III. Claims 38-48, drawn to a diaper, classified in class 604, subclass 358.
- IV. Claims 49-57 and 78, drawn to a diaper, classified in class 604, subclass 358.

Inventions I and II-IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case The process can be used to make a materially different product, for example, having different weight % of component materials than those of II-VI.

Inventions II-IV are related as products which share an disclosed common utility linked to a substantial structural feature. The products in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the products encompass embodiments that are NOT required to perform the common utility or (2) that the products as claimed encompass embodiments that are NOT required to have the substantial structural feature. In this case, III does not require the sheet material of II. III does not require the particular blend % and microdomain structure of IV. II does not require the particular bonding expedient of III, or the

particular blend % and microdomain structure of IV. IV does not require the particular bonding expedients of III and does not require the multicomponent particle having microdomains of water absorbing acidic resins close to a microdomain of a water absorbing basic resin of II.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to James Napoli on 13 March 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37) CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3761

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Bogart whose telephone number is (571) 272-4933.

In the event the examiner is not available, the Examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva may be reached at phone number (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for formal communications. For informal communications, the direct fax to the Examiner is (571) 273-4933.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair\_direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3761

Michael Bogart 13 March 2006

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER